UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,747   | 10/18/2005  | Teruyuki Kobayashi   | 1204.45527X00           | 5938             |  |
| 20457 7590 04/10/2008<br>ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP<br>1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET<br>SUITE 1800<br>ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873 |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | BHAT, NARAYAN KAMESHWAR |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                      | 1634                    |                  |  |
|  |             |                      |                         |                  |  |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE               | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                      | 04/10/2008              | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,747      | KOBAYASHI ET AL. |  |
|                 |                  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |

|   | NARAYAN K. BHAT   | 1634  |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with ti  | he correspondence add   | ress                                      |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |   |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the followin application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:</li> </ol>   | g replies: (1) an amendment, affic<br>opeal (with appeal fee) in compliar   | lavit, or other evidence, water with 37 CFR 41.31; o              | which places the r (3) a Request          |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing day b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.0  | s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for<br>e later than SIX MONTHS from the ma<br>or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN        | ailing date of the final rejection                                | on.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lamay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL | extension and the corresponding amo<br>e shortened statutory period for reply of<br>ter than three months after the mailing | unt of the fee. The appropri<br>originally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))   | , to avoid dismissal of the                                       |   |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in both  | consideration and/or search (see felow);  | NOTE below);  |   |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a   | )).   |   |   |
| 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1  5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(   | s):   |   | •   |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).  | ·   | •   | _   |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is purposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,17 and 19-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8-16 and 18.  |   | will be entered and an e  | хріапацоп от                              |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action,<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess.  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap   | peal and/or appellant fail  | s to provide a                            |
| <ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration.</li> </ul>   |   | •   |   |
| See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s 13. Other:  | ). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  | _   |   |
|   | /BJ Forman/<br>Primary Examiner, Al   | rt Unit 1634  |   |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments to the claims 1 and 19 requiring visualizing and identifying an individual chain molecules uprightly immobilized on a plastic substrate by probing with a scanning probe microscope in solution would require further search and considerations. Therefore, amendments to the claims are not entered. Applicant's arguments based on the amended claims are moot because amendments to the claims 1 and 19 are not entered. The arguments further address the claims as amended. These arguments are deemed moot because amended claims are not entered.